From reviews of Climate Change Reconsidered 2009:

"With its emphasis on natural variability as a cause for the recent climate changes, it is a must-have for serious climate scientists who should not just rely on the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report alone to get the full picture of our current state of knowledge (and what is not known) about climate and climate change."

Anthony R. Lupo, Ph.D.

University of Missouri-Columbia

"Their scholarly analysis brings some much-needed realism (and good old-fashioned common sense) to the climate change debate. Highly informative, *Climate Change Reconsidered* ought to be required reading for scientists, journalists, policymakers, teachers and students. It is an eye-opening read for everyone else (concerned citizens, taxpayers, etc.). In short ... this book is highly recommended!"

William Mellberg

Author, Moon Missions

"I've been waiting for this book for twenty years. It was a long wait, but I'm not disappointed. Climate Change Reconsidered is a tour de force. It takes on all the alleged evidences of catastrophic, manmade global warming and demonstrates, patiently and clearly, why they fail to support the conclusion."

E. Calvin Beisner, Ph.D.

Cornwall Alliance for the Stewardship of Creation

"I strongly recommend this book to any individual who seriously wants to understand the science of climate, the effects of climate change on human health, or who needs to make decisions about policy related to greenhouse gas regulations. It should be in every library for education on these questions, as it is the best and most complete work on these subjects of its type."

Howard Maccabee, Ph.D., M.D.

Alamo, California

"One of the most significant climate science documents ever produced. Coming to conclusions diametrically opposed to those of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the new Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC) report is essential reading for all politicians, or at least those who want to develop policies that actually benefit their countries and the environment."

Tom Harris, M.S.

International Climate Science Coalition

"The reports of the NIPCC and of the IPCC are very important reading for the public.... The former, an independent assessment of the claims of the latter, appears to be based on sound interpretations of solid scientific observations. One doctor is telling us that we have cancer and there is no hope (unless we kill ourselves to stop it). The other doctor has a second opinion which says maybe the symptoms are being misinterpreted; maybe we should pay more attention to actual observations and alternative explanations based on sound principles. Climate Change Reconsidered is must reading."

Ronald A. Wells, Ph.D.
University of California, Berkeley (retired)